Qualitative Reports on Collective Efforts for Building Implementation Capacities to Scale-Up Triple P in Two North Carolina Counties

Renée I. Boothroyd & William A. Aldridge, The Impact Center at FPG Child Development Institute, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Ronald J. Prinz, Parenting & Family Research Center, University of South Carolina

Helping Families Change Conference
February 28, 2018
Session Overview

Framed by the Triple P Implementation Evaluation (TPIE) quantitative findings,

1. Illustrate the utility of qualitative methods to better understand initial TPIE findings

1. Describe local system partner perspectives on factors influencing the scale-up of Triple P in cross-sector community systems

2. Highlight priority processes and capacities for ensuring Triple P implementation success and sustainability
“I think you should be more explicit here in step two.”
“Is the implementation [capacity and]infrastructure being put into place to sustainably support the Triple P system of interventions, or is this another example of ‘when the grant funding goes away, the services fade away?’”

• Phil Redmond, Director of Child Care

THE DUKE ENDOWMENT

**Population:** 1,012,539  
Home to North Carolina’s largest city, Charlotte  
Mecklenburg Triple P Coalition est. **March 2013**  
Started installing Triple P **June 2013**

**Population:** 192,103  
Mix of urban and rural settings  
Cabarrus Triple P Coalition est. **April 2012**  
Started installing Triple P **March 2013**
Capacity & Drivers Assessments

Community Capacity Assessment for Coalitions Scaling-up Triple P (CCA-TP)

Provides an assessment of key abilities and related resources in communities scaling the Triple P system of interventions

Implementation Drivers Assessment for Agencies Implementing Triple P (IDA-TP)

Assesses the presence of implementation infrastructure and best practices among service agencies to support the intended delivery of Triple P interventions
TPIE Qualitative Methods

- Semi-structured interviews
  - Leaders and implementation support staff
    - At local Triple P service agencies from TPIE counties
    - At lead Triple P agencies in TPIE counties
  - Implementation support staff at Triple P America
  - Triple P involved staff at the state Division of Public Health
- Content analysis to identify themes
TPIE Qualitative Reinforced Initial Recommendations

- Well-resourced county implementation teams
- Strong agency leadership and implementation teams
- Well development local implementation infrastructure to support the use of Triple P as intended (e.g., coaching systems, fidelity assessment resources and systems, quality and outcome monitoring systems)

These relationships are not only significant, but characterized by moderate to large effect sizes!
INQUIRY 1: Context Factors Facilitating or Hindering Triple P Implementation

- Buy-in and support at each level of the system
- Evidence, quality, usability of Triple P program and materials
- Triple P America is a responsive purveyor
  - Perceived fit of Triple P program with agency service contexts
  - Expense of materials as a concern for sustainability
INQUIRY 2: Key Decision Points During Triple P Implementation

• How to build sufficient local capacity to support Triple P implementation?
• How many practitioners to train, and at what levels in the Triple P system?
• How to best organize and sustain peer support networks for practitioner coaching?

When decisions yielded greater implementation resources and abilities (e.g., State Learning Collaborative, County Implementation teams) greater benefits were experienced
**INQUIRY 3: Roles/Aspects of System Partners**

**Local Coalitions & Agencies**
- More opportunities for agencies to meet, share, collaborate on ideas, experiences, problem-solving
- More opportunities for cross-system collaboration, learning, planning

**Funders & Policy Makers**
- More sustainable funding to support existing county Triple P coalitions
- More frequent and higher quality communication with local and state leaders
- Educate about potential health and economic benefits
INQUIRY 3: Roles/Aspects of System Partners

Local Families & Community Members
• More opportunities to systematically involve local community members in Triple P implementation processes (champion, share, catalyze, transfer learning, provide insight)

Triple P America
• Increase local and cultural responsiveness of materials and resources
• Use digital platforms to enhance accessibility of program materials
• More implementation support
INQUIRY 3: Roles/Aspects of System Partners

Triple P Researchers & Developers
• Ongoing research and evaluation of Triple P through local initiatives to ensure the local responsiveness and accessibility of Triple P
• Focus on increasing information about fit and feasibility of Triple P in various settings
• Create local data that can be used for ongoing quality improvement
1. Ensure systematic exploration and readiness processes for Triple P implementation at each level of the system

2. Reinforce and sustain peer support networks, local Triple P coalitions, and cross-community learning networks

3. Ensure access to active implementation support from Triple P America and other intermediaries

4. Secure sustainable resources to relieve tension from local service delivery and implementation systems

5. Promote broad Triple P awareness in the community
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